

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

David Nelson (*admitted pro hac vice*)
davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
500 W Madison St, Suite 2450
Chicago, IL 60661
Telephone: (312) 705-7465
Facsimile: (312) 705 7401

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF (NC)

**CISCO'S AMENDED PROPOSED
VERDICT FORM**

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

CISCO'S CLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

1: Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista infringed any of Cisco's copyrighted works?

YES (Infringed) NO (Not infringed)

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 1, PLEASE ANSWER QUESTION 2. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" QUESTION 1, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 4.

2: For the copyrighted works that you found infringed in Question 1, has Arista proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista's infringement of all of those copyrighted works was excused by any of the following affirmative defenses?

YES (Excused) NO (Not excused)

- | | | | |
|----|------------------|-------|-------|
| a. | Fair use | _____ | _____ |
| b. | Merger | _____ | _____ |
| c. | Scènes à faire | _____ | _____ |
| d. | Copyright misuse | _____ | _____ |
| e. | Abandonment | _____ | _____ |

1 **IF YOU ANSWERED “YES” TO ANY ONE OR MORE OF THE AFFIRMATIVE**
 2 **DEFENSES LISTED IN QUESTION 2, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 4. IF YOU**
 3 **ANSWERED “NO” TO ALL OF THE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES LISTED IN**
 4 **QUESTION 2, PLEASE ANSWER BOTH QUESTIONS 3(a) AND 3(b).**

5 3(a): What amount of Cisco’s lost profits is Cisco entitled to recover as a result of Arista’s
 6 copyright infringement?

7 \$ _____

8 3(b): What amount of Arista’s unlawful profits is Cisco entitled to recover as a result of Arista’s
 9 copyright infringement?

10 \$ _____

11
 12
 13 **CISCO’S CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**
 14

15 4. Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista has directly infringed the
 16 following claims of the ’526 patent?

	YES (Infringed)	NO (Not infringed)
18 a. Claim 1	_____	_____
19 b. Claim 14	_____	_____

20
 21
 22 5. Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista induced infringement the
 23 following claims of the ’526 patent?

	YES (Infringed)	NO (Not infringed)
25 a. Claim 1	_____	_____
26 b. Claim 14	_____	_____

6. Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista contributorily infringed the following claims of the '526 patent?

	YES (Infringed)	NO (Not infringed)
a. Claim 1	_____	_____
b. Claim 14	_____	_____

IF—FOR ANY CLAIM OF THE '526 PATENT—YOU ANSWERED “YES” FOR INFRINGEMENT (ANY ONE OF QUESTIONS 4, 5 OR 6) , THEN PLEASE ANSWER BOTH QUESTIONS 7 AND 8. OTHERWISE, SKIP TO THE END OF THIS VERDICT FORM AND SIGN AND DATE IT.

7. What amount of damages is Cisco entitled to recover as a result of Arista's patent infringement?

\$_____

8. Has Cisco proven that Arista's patent infringement was willful?

YES (Willful)	NO (Not willful)
_____	_____

PLEASE DATE AND SIGN BELOW.

Dated: _____

FOREPERSON

1 Dated: December 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

2 /s/ John M. Neukom

3 Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
4 kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
5 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
6 SULLIVAN LLP
7 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
8 New York, NY 10010
9 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
10 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

11 Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
12 seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
13 Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
14 amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
15 John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
16 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
17 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
18 SULLIVAN LLP
19 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94111
21 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
22 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

23 David Nelson (*admitted pro hac vice*)
24 davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
25 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
26 SULLIVAN LLP
27 500 W Madison St, Suite 2450
28 Chicago, IL 60661
Telephone: (312) 705-7465
Facsimile: (312) 705 7401

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street

Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28